



Mail Stop Amendment
Attorney Docket No. 82381

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re patent application of:

ROE et al. Confirmation No:6684
Serial No. 10/090,791 Art Unit: 2142
Filed: March 6, 2002 Examiner: REID, Cheryl M.
For: **METHOD, EQUIPMENT AND SYSTEM FOR SIGNALING IN A NETWORK
INCLUDING ETHERNET**

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Submitted herewith for filing in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is the following:

- (1) Transmittal Letter;
- (2) Supplemental Amendment.

If an Extension of Time under 37 CFR § 1.136 is required and has not been separately petitioned herein, please consider this Transmittal Letter as including a petition for such Extension of Time and as a further authorization to charge any fee for such Extension of Time, as may be required by 37 CFR § 1.17, to Deposit Account No. 14-0112.

Please charge any fee deficiency, or credit any overpayment, in connection with this matter to Deposit Account No. 14-0112.

Respectfully submitted,
NATH & ASSOCIATES PLLC

By:


Harold L. Novick
Registration No. 26,011
Customer No. 20529

Date: November 4, 2005
NATH & ASSOCIATES PLLC
1030 15th Street, N.W.
6th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 775-8383



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re patent application of:

ROE et al. **Confirmation No. 6684**

Serial No. 10/090,791 **Group Art Unit: 2142**

Filed: March 6, 2002 **Examiner: REID, Cheryl M.**

**For: METHOD, EQUIPMENT AND SYSTEM FOR SIGNALING IN A NETWORK
INCLUDING ETHERNET**

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is in response to the Office Action having a mailing date of October 4, 2005 . The Office Action set a one month period to respond to the Office Action which expires on November 4, 2005, which makes this a timely filed response to the present Office Action. In the following remarks, it is submitted that the specific distinctions rendering previously newly added Claim 21 patentable over the previously cited references, said by the Examiner to be missing from the previously submitted response, are present in this paper.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 2 of this paper.